

Management Accountability Framework Portal

Simplified Report: National Defence

Rating change
since previous
year



National Defence

5. Quality of Analysis in TB Submissions

Attention
Required

Opportunity for
Improvement

Acceptable Strong

Highlights

Supporting Information: Adequate explanation for the level of resources requested is partially sufficient.

Funding information aligns fairly well with project authorities.

Organization has the capacity to respond effectively to most TBS feedback.

Analysis: Generally, the correct policy authorities are used.

Some links to MRRS, strategic objectives, etc., are present.

Established capacity for options analysis is demonstrated.

Consultations: Established capacity to initiate consultations with TBS with sufficient lead time is evident.

Quality control: A highly rigorous and effective quality control process is followed for all TB submissions.

Moderate clarity exists and consistent language is used.

Submissions always have SFO or Head of Evaluation sign offs when appropriate.

In some cases, the level of analysis for complex proposals is lacking.

Opportunities

Improvements are needed in the following areas:

-links to MRRS, PAA, performance measurement; and

-clarity and consistency of language (i.e. need to put technical elements in plain language)

DND should ensure that it is clear how its proposals are situated within departmental corporate plans and support departmental strategic outcomes as articulated in its MRRS.

Recommendations

